

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington Def 202.01
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01 28:2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/722,644	11/28/2000	Jun Koide	35.C14946	4570
5514 7	590 01/28/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			FXAMINER	
• • • • • • • • • • •	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	1Ĉ)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/722,644	KOIDE, JUN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan Johnson	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.	lanuary 1934 .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matte <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
•	ion of Claims Claim(a) 1. 24 is large pending in the application						
 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
* (3.∐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/722,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-24 are drawn to a laser working apparatus, classified in class 356, subclass various.
- II. Claims 25-31 are drawn to a laser working method, classified in class 219, subclass 121.71.
- III. Claims 32-34 are drawn to an ink jet recording head, classified in class 347, subclass 54.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used in adhesive bonding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus

Application/Control Number: 09/722,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the ink jet head can be made by vapor deposition, grit blasting, and spin coating.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group II and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a method involving vapor deposition, grit blasting, and spin coating.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

<u>IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP I, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY</u> <u>ELECT THE FOLLOWING:</u>

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Ia. Claims 4, 12 and 13 drawn to a chopper.
- Ib. Claim 5, 14 drawn to a shutter.

Application/Control Number: 09/722,644

Art Unit: 1725

Ic. Claim 15 drawn to a liquid crystal shutter

- Id. Claim 6, 16 drawn to an AOM.
- le. Claim 7, 17 drawn to a EOM.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 2, 3 and 11 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/722,644 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 703-308-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

TOM DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700